# **Minutes**

# **Professional Athletics Program**

Boxing, Martial Arts, and Wrestling Public Meeting Sept. 3, 2009 Tacoma, Washington 3:00 – 7:00 pm

Facilitators: Linda Crerar, Carol Knight-Wallace

#### Attendees:

- Industry Representatives: David Brown, Paul Field, Dennis Hallman, Glen Hamada, Aric Wiseman, Terry Boehlke, Mickey Brasher, Jeff Bourgeois, Brian Halquist, Bill Hunt, Tad Bremer
- Department Representatives: Trudie Touchette, Susan Colard, George Dodd

### **Meeting Purpose:**

Develop ideas for creating a self-supporting program.

#### **Objectives:**

Review preliminary recommendations.

#### Introductions:

Tad Bremer and Bill Hunt were a new participants and David Brown participated on the phone.

# **DOL Briefing**

Key points and observations from other states' practices, Pay Per View, and Pro-Am research – George Dodd.

- High number of events in some states
- 5% fee
- \$1800 event fee for promoter, plus 5% fee
- \$2.00 charge per ticket
- Minimum charge of \$150 per event
- Pay per view fee
- Some states allow pro-am events and charge a fee on total gate
- Ohio is somewhat similar to WA, in the number of events held if not counting MMA and Pro-Am events

#### **Group Discussions**

- One participant wanted to get the state involved in amateur MMA due to the risk to athletes and money involved.
- Boxing is not making money.
- Comment: "anything you do in this state as a job should be taxed."

- The industry should run the industry.
- What happens if you take the Department out of the venue sites and make the promoter more responsible? Would FTE's be reduced?
- Commission would approve fights and train officials.
- There was also considerable discussion about the difference between an Advisory Board and a Commission. This was discussed in detail later in the meeting, resulting in a recommendation.
- Promoters should be responsible to assure fighters paperwork is completed and submitted.
- Promoters should meet timelines.

## **Preliminary Recommendations**

The following recommendations were discussed and approved for further research by the group:

Develop an Ad Hoc/Advisory Committee to provide guidance and direction to the Department's Professional Athletics' Program. Its duties may include:

- Develop and implement a process for holding Pro-Am events
- Increase license fees
- Establish a Pay Per View
- Implement fee for Federal/National ID cards
- Department will continue to address process improvements and efficiencies to reduce costs
- Charge a fee for the approval of amateur sanctioning organizations not recognized in statute

#### **Eliminations from list:**

Improve Oversight of Closed Circuit Televised Events was eliminated from recommendations.

#### **Action Items**

- Research how other states operate under the Commission model. What are the responsibilities of Commissioners, volunteers, and state staff? What does it cost?
- The Department and Self-Sustainment Plan Working Group will discuss roles, responsibilities and functions of the Advisory Group.

# Parking Lot

The "Parking Lot" has the following items:

- Brainstorming ideas may require rules or statute changes
- Look at issues related to RCW 67.08.015. Need clarity on amateurs
- Certification of Officials (there is some controversy over the DOL practice of rotating officials)
  - o Cap the number?
  - o Don't certify those not ready

- What are the requirements for certification?
- Regulate kick-boxing?
- Why don't we regulate amateur wrestling?
- Current reimbursement levels for officials needs to be reviewed look at % of gate as modification to current pay schedule.

## Sept. 10 Agenda

- 1. Complete discussion of items in "Needs Discussion", "Eliminate", and "Parking Lot."
- 2. Review preliminary report draft
- 3. Discuss action items

Meeting closed at 7:00 p.m.